BUSINESS MEETING

Tuesday, April 16, 2013

UNITED STATES SENATE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

113TH Congress, First Session

BUSINESS MEETING

Tuesday, April 16, 2013

U.S. SENATE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS WASHINGTON, D.C.

1 The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 2:19 p.m. in Room S-116, The Capitol, 2 Hon. Robert Menendez, chairman of the committee, presiding. 3 PRESENT: Senators Menendez, Boxer, Cardin, Casey, Shaheen, Coons, Udall, 4 Murphy, Kaine, Corker, Johnson, and Paul. 5 **THE CHAIRMAN.** This business meeting of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee 6 will come to order. 7 I understand that we have a quorum, and I want to thank everybody for coming. 8 I know it is a particularly busy time with the issues on the floor and otherwise. So I 9 hope we can move through this agenda expeditiously. 10 We have three legislative items, one nomination, and one Foreign Service officer 11 list. I have a few comments on the items, and some others have indicated that they 12 want to say a few words. Thereafter, of course, we will listen to any other comments. I 13 would propose at the end to vote en bloc on all of the items together. 14 Let me turn to the legislative items first. We are considering S. 657, the Foreign 15 Prison Conditions Improvement Act of 2013. It is a Leahy-Inhofe bill, which I understand was spurred by their visit to prisons in Africa and Haiti. It will raise the 16

- 1 profile of foreign prison conditions as a foreign policy concern. I understand the State
- 2 Department has some issues with some of the language of the text, and I am sure they
- 3 will continue to work with Senator Leahy's staff to resolve them as the legislation
- 4 moves forward.
- We also have two resolutions on the agenda. S. Res. 65 is a resolution strongly
- 6 supporting the full implementation of United States and international sanctions on Iran
- 7 and urging the President to continue to strengthen enforcement of that sanctions
- 8 legislation. Senator Graham and I introduced this important resolution because we
- 9 want to send a clear signal to Iran, as well as to our ally, the State of Israel. Particularly,
- 10 I think this is incredibly important as the P5+1 talks have just largely collapsed. The
- 11 time frame on this is getting shorter and shorter. And we are all hopeful that a
- diplomatic solution can be reached, but I think sending a clear message about
- enforcement of our provisions and having, as the President has said, Israel's back. is
- incredibly important. I share the President's commitment to the security of Israel, and I
- 15 know that the 80 colleagues who have joined us as cosponsors of the resolution do as
- 16 well.
- 17 At Senator Corker's request, we are considering an amendment to that resolution
- 18 which includes changes that both Senator Graham and I have agreed to.
- 19 At this time, I would like to call upon Senator Corker. Do you want to say any
- 20 words about your amendment?

that you have offered. I support it. I do think that when we pass resolutions like this on the floor, even though it is a resolution and does not have the force of law, we need to

SENATOR CORKER. Sure. I want to thank you for offering the important resolution

5 want to reserve the right to do that and, obviously, want to focus on Israel's legitimate

still recognize that it is up to the Senate to act when it comes to issues like this. And I

6 right to deal with self-defense.

So I thank you for working with me. I think this is the way the Senate should work. I strongly support the resolution, as amended. Hopefully, we will pass it, and I appreciate your work on this issue.

THE CHAIRMAN. And I appreciate that point of view and your concern about sending unintentional signals about the use of military force. Several members of the committee came and talked to me about this, Senator McCain, among others. And of course, that point is explicitly dealt with in Section 2, which states that nothing in this resolution shall be construed as an authorization for the use of force, or a declaration of war. But I think your additional language reinforces that notion, and goes a step beyond, and makes it even stronger. So I totally accept your amendment.

And the last legislative item — then we will go and open it up to everyone else.

The last legislative item is S. Resolution 90, a resolution congratulating the people of

Kenya on their commitment to peaceful elections as demonstrated on March the 4th of
this year, and calling on Kenya to come together to continue to implement political,

- 1 institutional, and accountability reforms envisioned by the Kenyan constitution. That is
- 2 a resolution that was introduced by Senator Coons and cosponsored by Senators Cardin
- 3 and Flake.
- 4 At Senator Coons' request, we will consider several amendments to the
- 5 resolution that he will be proposing, and I would like to call upon him as the chair of
- 6 the Africa Subcommittee.
- 7 **SENATOR COONS.** Thank you, Chairman Menendez, and I want to thank Senators
- 8 Flake and Cardin for joining me as original cosponsors.
- 9 This is an amendment in the nature of a substitute because there have been some
- 10 changes, in fact, since the resolution was first introduced.
- 11 As many of you know, the March 4 national elections were largely peaceful and
- credible in Kenya, which is an important change from the 2007 elections which
- occasioned widespread, heavy violence. At the same time, the election of Uhuru
- 14 Kenyatta as President and his Deputy President Ruto, presents some challenges for the
- 15 United States because they both continue to face charges of crimes against humanity at
- 16 the International Criminal Court. Accountability is one of our bedrock principles, and
- 17 this resolution tries to strike a balance between recognizing and celebrating the peaceful
- and credible aspects of the election, the role their supreme court played, while also
- 19 continuing to emphasize for us the importance of accountability and that the President

- and Deputy President continue to meet their commitments to observe the ICC process
- 2 and respond to calls to that court. So in my view, this strikes a good balance.
- I welcome anyone's input. I hope we will pass it today. If anyone has concerns, I
- 4 would certainly be willing to hear them.
- 5 **THE CHAIRMAN.** Well, I appreciate your work on this and I support both your
- 6 resolution and your amendments.
- And in terms of just laying out the rest of the agenda, let me turn to the
- 8 nominations on the agenda. Per longstanding practice, the Secretary of the Treasury,
- 9 which is now Jack Lew, is being nominated for the governorships of four international
- 10 financial institutions in which the U.S. plays an important role: the International
- 11 Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the Inter-
- 12 American Development Bank, and the European Bank for Reconstruction and
- 13 Development. Secretary Lew has been confirmed by the Senate. He is highly qualified.
- 14 He will, no doubt, serve the U.S. well in his roles. This is a traditional reality for
- whomever is the Secretary of the Treasury. And so, I am certainly supportive of that
- and hope the committee will be as well.
- 17 Are there any other comments that anyone would like to make on any of the
- 18 items. Senator Paul?
- 19 **SENATOR PAUL.** Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment to S. 657, the Foreign Prison
- 20 Conditions Improvement Act.

I think it is important that we know about whether people are being treated

2 justly in prisons, but I think it is also important to note and to discuss whether or not

3 people have been justly imprisoned. And Dr. Shakil Afridi is the physician that helped

4 us to get bin Laden, and I think he is being unjustly imprisoned. He is in jail for 33

years, and this amendment would suspend aid to Pakistan until he is released. I would

6 propose it as an amendment to S. 657.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE CHAIRMAN. Well, let me, first of all, say I appreciate your concerns about Dr.

Afridi, and in my recent visit to Pakistan, I raised Dr. Afridi's issues both with the

President of Pakistan and the Foreign Minister, and I did it robustly.

This is the first time that anyone has seen this amendment. You know, I try to work in comity with everyone here to achieve our goals in a bipartisan spirit. I may consider changing the rules of the committee to have amendments be known in advance, if they are not shared, because it is tough when no one knows until the moment of the business meeting that something is being offered and people cannot study it and see the effect of what it means more significantly as it relates to foreign policy.

While I share your concerns about Dr. Afridi, this is not about any country-specific legislation — this goes beyond denying aid, it goes beyond the question of prisons in Pakistan. This goes to a much broader foreign policy concern that affects

- both national interests and national security of the United States if we were to cut off all
- 2 aid.
- 3 So I would ask my colleague in the context of that comity, since this still
- 4 obviously has a way to go, would he consider not pursuing the amendment at this
- 5 time? And obviously, when we get to the floor, there will be an opportunity to offer it
- 6 and make the case there. If that is possible, it would be preferable at this time. Of
- 7 course, this is not the finality for this piece of legislation. I do not know how you feel
- 8 about that.
- 9 **SENATOR PAUL.** You know, I think maybe there could be an answer, but the only
- one thing I want to point out is this bill was introduced 2 months ago and also was
- 11 voted on last year. So it is not brand new.
- But what I would say and we can try to get it more in advance. I think that is
- 13 a reasonable suggestion.
- I know most of the members of the committee do not agree with the way I want
- 15 to use leverage. I think we should use leverage by being tougher and saying, "guess
- 16 what, you either do this or no more money." Most people think that is too strong a
- 17 hammer. I think it would get more results than we have gotten so far.
- But I think we want to draw attention to this. I know you have privately done it.
- 19 I appreciate you doing it for Dr. Afridi. But what about having a public hearing where
- we have the Pakistani Ambassador come to a hearing? What if we asked that Dr. Afridi

- be allowed to respond and talk about his health and his condition from the jail cell? I
- 2 do not know that they will allow that, but we can certainly ask. And I think with your
- 3 leverage as chairman in bringing the Pakistani Ambassador and I think there are
- 4 things we could do, that if everybody knew he is serious about it, but you do not like
- 5 the way I am doing it, which I can understand we have a disagreement on that. But
- 6 you have a great deal of power, you know, as the chairman of this committee. I think
- 7 you could use this leverage to bring attention to his unfair imprisonment. Would you
- 8 consider maybe doing a hearing?
- 9 **THE CHAIRMAN.** Well, I would say that I would consider working with you on
- 10 finding ways to creating both a greater spotlight and greater pressure. I do not want to
- 11 commit to a hearing right now because, first of all, we have no jurisdiction over the
- 12 Pakistani Ambassador to say you have to come or not. That is a question of their
- 13 government to choose so. But I could commit to the gentleman that I would work with
- 14 you to find ways to ratchet up the pressure, and that would be a very legitimate
- 15 commitment on my part.
- SENATOR PAUL. And then the only other point is, you know, it is very difficult.
- 17 People will be pushing for this to go by either a voice vote or a unanimous consent.
- 18 This probably, likely, will not be on the floor for amendment.
- 19 **THE CHAIRMAN.** You will have the leverage, obviously, to object to any —

- 1 **SENATOR PAUL.** And my intention really is not so much to object to the contents of
- 2 this.
- 3 **THE CHAIRMAN.** I understand.
- 4 **SENATOR PAUL.** It is to bring attention to Dr. Afridi's plight.
- 5 Why do not we see if there is some middle ground? If you will at least consider
- 6 the idea of a hearing, I think a hearing can be done in a respectful way. I know Pakistan
- 7 has been a longtime ally of ours, and it is not all bad. But I think even our allies need to
- 8 know that we are serious about this. You know, we had the same problem in Egypt last
- 9 year, 16 people in prison not in prison but detained within the country without trial.
- 10 And I think they need to know that we should attach strings to gifts that we give them.
- 11 **SENATOR BOXER.** Mr. Chairman?
- 12 **THE CHAIRMAN.** Senator Boxer?
- 13 **SENATOR BOXER.** I am very fortunate to chair a committee that looks at human
- rights, Senator, across the spectrum, Senator Paul. So I would be very happy as well
- 15 because there are so many of these issues all over, including in places like Russia, as you
- 16 know, that are having some really bad human rights abuses. And I was going to speak
- 17 to the chairman about it. It is an amazing way, working through the subcommittee with
- the full committee's involvement, that we can have an agenda that could look at this.
- 19 And my suggestion is for you, you could host and I would be happy to host it
- 20 with you and the chairman might and the ranking might. We should call our

- 1 ambassadors forward because then we have some ability. Their ambassador is a
- 2 different story, but our ambassador. I would be very pleased to sit at a private meeting
- 3 first. Whatever you want, I would work with you.
- 4 **SENATOR PAUL.** No. I think that would be great. I think I would be surprised if
- 5 they would publicly turn down the Foreign Relations Committee to come. Even
- 6 Pakistan's Ambassador I think I would be surprised. But, no, I am more than willing
- 7 to do that. I think there are ways. But the more we draw attention to this, particularly
- 8 if it is not that we can agree to use the leverage the way I would use it, then we can
- 9 agree to at least use the leverage publicly and privately talking about these things
- 10 because I think that ultimately there should be some influence over this.
- 11 The other bigger question beyond this is you know, we have a problem or I
 12 think there is a potential problem of using human resources, people that would divulge
 13 information to us secretly. If people around the world think that we are not going to
 14 stick up for people who have been informants for us, I think we are unlikely to get
 15 more. And Dr. Afridi is probably the most prominent person in the world, I think, to
 16 have given information. Whether it directly led to what happened, I do not know
 17 whether that is true or not, but I know that he was somehow involved with trying to
 - help us. And if people around the world do not see that we are sticking up for him, I
- 19 think we are unlikely to get more informants to help us. And we have got a

18

20 longstanding problem around the world that we need human intelligence.

- 1 **THE CHAIRMAN.** Well, I will reiterate to you, the gentleman, my commitment to
- 2 work with him to find ways in which we can cast a very strong spotlight and try to
- 3 exert appropriate pressures and resources we have to draw attention and hopefully
- 4 to gain his liberty at the end of the day. That is the best I can tell the gentleman at this
- 5 point.
- 6 **SENATOR PAUL**. In that case, I would ask to withdraw the amendment and save it
- 7 for another day.
- 8 **THE CHAIRMAN.** I appreciate the gentleman's support and cooperation and we look
- 9 forward to working with you.
- 10 With that, first of all, I would therefore unless there is someone else who
- 11 wishes to speak. I move for a voice vote en bloc on the entire agenda with both
- 12 amendments, Senator Corker's amendments and Senator Coons' amendments, as
- 13 adopted, including the legislation and nominations and Foreign Service list. Is there a
- 14 second?
- 15 **SENATOR BOXER.** Second.
- 16 **THE CHAIRMAN.** There is a second.
- Do you want to speak to this?
- **SENATOR CORKER.** I would like to just say one thing. I am all for that, and I thank
- 19 you for the way you have worked with us and handled the issue that just came up.

1	I do think on the IMF issue, you know, Jack Lew — we are appointing him to the
2	IMF and other places, which is appropriate for us to do. I know there are some
3	meetings that are coming up. I know big issues are coming out of those meetings in the
4	next couple weeks. I do think, because we are being asked to play a little bit different
5	role with the IMF per the administration's budget and because the IMF is playing a little
6	bit different role in the world, I would just ask that the chairman consider us having a
7	hearing on the IMF and what their role is. I think that would be very helpful to us. I
8	have no problem whatsoever appointing Mr. Lew to these positions. It is appropriate,
9	but I do think with some of the roles they are playing and with the administration's
10	request that we invest more money in the IMF, that if the chairman would consider
11	having a hearing on what their actions are around the world, it would be really helpful
12	to all of us.
13	THE CHAIRMAN. I certainly will consider it. I am not sure jurisdictionally, but I am
14	sure we can work it out. It is the Finance Committee's jurisdiction maybe, but I am sure
15	we will find out how we can do this.
16	The motion has been made and seconded. All those in favor, say aye.
17	[CHORUS OF AYES.]
18	THE CHAIRMAN. Opposed, say nay?
19	[No response.]

1	THE CHAIRMAN. The ayes have it and all of the items on the agenda are ordered
2	reported.
3	There being no additional business before the committee, this meeting is
4	adjourned.
5	[Whereupon, at 2:36 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.]
6	